The general form of the coupled Horndeski Lagrangian that allows cosmological scaling solutions

Adalto R. Gomes
Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA)
Campus Universitário do Bacanga, 65085-580, São Luís, Maranhão, Brasil

Luca Amendola
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg,
Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

arXiv:1511.01004v1 [gr-qc] 2 Nov 2015

We consider the general scalar field Horndeski Lagrangian coupled to matter. Within this class of models, we present two results that are independent of the particular form of the model. First, we show that in a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric the Horndeski Lagrangian coincides with the pressure of the scalar field. Second, we employ the previous result to identify the most general form of the Lagrangian that allows for cosmological scaling solutions, i.e. solutions where the ratio of matter to field density and the equation of state remain constant. Scaling solutions of this kind may help solving the coincidence problem since in this case the presently observed ratio of matter to dark energy does not depend on initial conditions, but rather on the theoretical parameters.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of cosmic acceleration [1, 2] has generated many attempts at finding suitable explanations beyond the classical cosmological constant. Many of these are based on scalar fields because they provide a relatively simple way of adding degrees of freedom into the matter Lagrangian with appealing properties: weak clustering, tunable equation of state, isotropy. In fact, scalar fields are also the simplest and most investigated models for the other period of acceleration – inflation.

The simple quintessence scalar field Lagrangian [3–7] has been progressively expanded by including terms coupled to gravity [8–11] and terms that are general functions of the kinetic energy [12]. Building on pioneering results, some authors realized recently that the most general scalar field Lagrangian that produces second order equations of motion is the so-called Horndeski Lagrangian [13–15], a model that includes four arbitrary functions of the scalar field and its kinetic energy. Models that expand beyond Horndeski have also been proposed [16, 17]. This Lagrangian is a form of modified gravity since, in general, it changes the Poisson equation, the lensing equation, and the gravitational wave equation.

The number of free functions in the Horndeski Lagrangian makes an exhaustive study very complicate. Several classes of models that exhibit special properties have been already investigated and shown to produce succesful models of dark energy (see e.g. [18]). In a previous paper [19] we posed the question of whether it was possible to find some general property of the Horndeski Lagrangian without solving the equations of motion. In particular, we proposed to identify which classes of Lagrangian contain the so-called scaling solutions, defined by the property that the energy density of matter and scalar field scale in the same way with time, so that their ratio remains constant. A second condition that has also been employed to simplify the treatment is that the field equation of state remains constant during the scaling trajectory. Scaling solutions are particularly interesting because one can hope to employ them to avoid the problem of the coincidence between the present matter and dark energy densities, i.e. the fact that today the two density fractions Ω_m, Ω_ϕ are very similar. In fact, while this coincidence occurs only today for a cosmological constant model and for all the models in which matter and dark energy scale with time in a different way, and therefore depends in a critical way on the initial conditions, in scaling solutions the "coincidence" depends only the choice of parameters and, if the solution is stable, this can remain valid forever. It is important to remark that we are not demanding that the theory contains *only* scaling solutions, but that scaling solutions are allowed: in general they will contain other non-scaling solutions. Whether these models can successfully explain the entire cosmological sequence of radiation, matter and accelerated eras is still to be seen [20].

The first example of scaling model is a simple uncoupled scalar field with an exponential potential [5, 6]. However, in this case no acceleration is possible during the scaling regime. Next, one can couple the scalar field and the matter component (or equivalently couple field and gravity) [8, 9]. Several interesting properties of this kind of scaling solutions have been studied in the past, as for instance a similar coupling to neutrinos [21] and the behavior of perturbations [22], and more recently, with multiple dark matter models [23, 24].

A generalization of scaling models has been realized in Ref. [25] (see also [26]). They found in fact that the most

general Lagrangian without gravity coupling that contains scaling solutions must have the form

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}R + K(\phi, X)\right] + S_m(\phi, \psi_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{1}$$

with

$$K(\phi, X) = Xg(Xe^{\lambda\phi}), \tag{2}$$

where $X = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi$, g an arbitrary function and λ a constant. S_m is the action for the matter fields ψ_i, which also depends generally on the scalar field ϕ. The same form applies if the field has a constant coupling to gravity. In Ref. [27] this result has been extended to variable couplings.

In Ref. [19] we performed another step towards extending this result to the entire Horndeski Lagrangian. We studied in fact a Lagrangian of type [15, 28, 29]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}R + K(\phi, X) - G_3(\phi, X)\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi\right] + S_m(\phi, \psi_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{3}$$

denoted KGB model in [29]. The new term containing G_3 produces new second order terms in the equation of motion. The addition of the term linear in $\Box\phi \equiv \nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi$ introduced several new features and enlarged considerably the class of models that allow for accelerated scaling solutions. This paper is devoted to completing our programme by extending the search for scaling Lagrangians to the entire Horndeski class. Unfortunately the mathematics required to achieve this extension is exceedingly tedious. The conclusion is however quite simple to state: we obtain the most general form of Horndeski Lagrangian that contains scaling solutions among their Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) solutions.

To achieve this generalization we need an intermediate result that is interesting on its own, namely, the equivalence of the Lagrangian with the pressure of the scalar field. This is well known to occur with the term $K(\phi, X)$ [30, 31] and also when including G_3 [29]. Here we prove it valid for the entire Lagrangian, at least when the metric is restricted to a flat FLRW.

II. HORNDESKI LAGRANGIAN AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION

We consider the Horndeski action

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_H + S_m(\phi, \psi_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_H$ is the Horndeski Lagrangian, which is the most general Lagrangian which has second order equations of motion, defined as $\mathcal{L}_H = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5$, with

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = K(\phi, X), \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -G_3(\phi, X)\Box\phi, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = G_4(\phi, X)R + G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)] \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = G_5(\phi, X)G_{\mu\nu}(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) - \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3 - 3(\Box\phi)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) + 2(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)(\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi)] \tag{8}$$

and ϕ is a scalar field, $X = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi$ and R is the Ricci scalar. We consider that there is only one type of pressureless matter of energy density $\rho_m = -T^0_0$, in the Einstein frame, where the energy-momentum tensor is defined by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}. \tag{9}$$

In this frame matter is directly coupled to the scalar field through the function $Q(\phi)$, where

$$Q = -\frac{1}{\rho_m\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_m}{\delta\phi}. \tag{10}$$

We consider a FLRW flat metric with $ds^2 = -dt^2 + \mathcal{A}^2(t)d\mathbf{x}^2$, where $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is the scale factor and the scalar field depends only on t. In this case we have $X = \dot{\phi}^2/2$, $\dot{X} = \dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}$, $\nabla_\mu X \nabla^\mu \phi = -2X\ddot{\phi}$, where dot means derivative with respect to the cosmic time t. Varying the action with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$, and defining $H = \dot{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{A}$, one gets [32]

$$\sum_{i=2}^{5} \mathcal{E}_i = -\rho_m, \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{i=2}^{5} \mathcal{P}_i = 0, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = 2XK_{,X} - K, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_3 = 6X\dot{\phi}HG_{3,X} - 2XG_{3,\phi}, \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_4 = -6H^2G_4 + 24H^2X(G_{4,X} + XG_{4,XX}) - 12HX\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi X} - 6H\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi}, \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_5 = 2H^3X\dot{\phi}(5G_{5,X} + 2XG_{5,XX}) - 6H^2X(3G_{5,\phi} + 2XG_{5,\phi,X}). \tag{16}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = K, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = -2X(G_{3,\phi} + \ddot{\phi}G_{3,X}), \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_4 = {} & 2(3H^2 + 2\dot{H})G_4 - 12H^2XG_{4,X} - 4H\dot{X}G_{4,X} - 8\dot{H}XG_{4,X} - 8HX\dot{X}G_{4,XX} \\ & +2(\ddot{\phi} + 2H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi} + 4XG_{4,\phi\phi} + 4X(\ddot{\phi} - 2H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi X},\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_5 = {} & -2X(2H^3\dot{\phi} + 2H\dot{H}\dot{\phi} + 3H^2\ddot{\phi})G_{5,X} - 4H^2X^2\ddot{\phi}G_{5,XX} \\ & +4HX(\dot{X} - HX)G_{5,\phi X} + 2[2(\dot{H}X + H\dot{X}) + 3H^2X]G_{5,\phi} + 4HX\dot{\phi}G_{5,\phi\phi}.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Varying the action with respect to ϕ, one gets

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^3}\frac{d}{dt}(a^3J) = \mathcal{P} - \rho_m Q, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}J \equiv {} & \dot{\phi}K_{,X} + 6HXG_{3,X} - 2\dot{\phi}G_{3,\phi} + 6H^2\dot{\phi}(G_{4,X} + 2XG_{4,XX}) - 12HXG_{4,\phi X} \\ & +2H^3X(3G_{5,X} + 2XG_{5,XX}) - 6H^2\dot{\phi}(G_{5,\phi} + XG_{5,\phi X})\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} \equiv {} & K_{,\phi} - 2X(G_{3,\phi\phi} + \ddot{\phi}G_{3,\phi X}) + 6(2H^2 + \dot{H})G_{4,\phi} + 6H(\dot{X} + 2HX)G_{4,\phi X} \\ & -6H^2XG_{5,\phi\phi} + 2H^3X\dot{\phi}G_{5,\phi X}\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now, in order to confront these models with SNIa observations, we isolate from the complete action a term corresponding to the Einstein-Hilbert one. Then the action is rewritten as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{1}{2}R + \mathcal{L}\right) + S_m(\phi, \psi_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{24}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = {} & K(\phi, X) - G_3(\phi, X)\Box\phi + \left(G_4(\phi, X) - \frac{1}{2}\right)R + G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)] \\ & +G_5(\phi, X)G_{\mu\nu}(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) - \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3 - 3(\Box\phi)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) \\ & +2(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)(\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi)\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and we write the Einstein equations as [33]

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3}(\rho_\phi + \rho_m) \tag{26}$$

and

$$-2\dot{H} = \rho_m + \rho_\phi + p. \tag{27}$$

Comparing Eqs. (26), (27) with Eqs. (11), (12), we arrive to useful definitions for the energy density (ρ_{DE}) and pressure (p) of dark energy:

$$\rho_\phi \equiv \sum_{i=2}^{5} \mathcal{E}_i + 3H^2, \tag{28}$$

$$p \equiv \sum_{i=2}^{5} \mathcal{P}_i - (3H^2 + 2\dot{H}), \tag{29}$$

or also

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\phi \equiv\ & 2XK_{,X} - K + 6X\dot{\phi}HG_{3,X} - 2XG_{3,\phi} + 3H^2(1-2G_4) \\
& +24H^2X(G_{4,X} + XG_{4,XX}) - 12HX\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi X} - 6H\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi} \\
& +2H^3X\dot{\phi}(5G_{5,X} + 2XG_{5,XX}) - 6H^2X(3G_{5,\phi} + 2XG_{5,\phi,X}),
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p \equiv\ & K - 2X(G_{3,\phi} + \ddot{\phi}G_{3,X}) - (3H^2 + 2\dot{H})(1-2G_4) - 12H^2XG_{4,X} \\
& -4H\dot{X}G_{4,X} - 8\dot{H}XG_{4,X} - 8HX\dot{X}G_{4,XX} + 2(\ddot{\phi} + 2H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi} \\
& +4XG_{4,\phi\phi} + 4X(\ddot{\phi} - 2H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi X} \\
& -2X(2H^3\dot{\phi} + 2H\dot{H}\dot{\phi} + 3H^2\ddot{\phi})G_{5,X} - 4H^2X^2\ddot{\phi}G_{5,XX} \\
& +4HX(\dot{X} - HX)G_{5,\phi X} + 2[2(\dot{H}X + H\dot{X}) + 3H^2X]G_{5,\phi} + 4HX\dot{\phi}G_{5,\phi\phi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Defining

$$\Omega_\phi = \frac{\rho_\phi}{3H^2},\ \ \Omega_m = \frac{\rho_m}{3H^2} \tag{32}$$

we can rewrite the Friedman equation (Eq. (26)) as

$$\Omega_\phi + \Omega_m = 1. \tag{33}$$

Now we introduce the e-folding time $N = \log a$, so that $d/dt = Hd/dN$. Then the equation of motion for the scalar field ϕ and matter can be written as (see also [32])

$$\frac{d\rho_\phi}{dN} + 3(1+w_\phi)\rho_\phi = -\rho_m Q\frac{d\phi}{dN} \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{d\rho_m}{dN} + 3\rho_m = \rho_m Q\frac{d\phi}{dN}, \tag{35}$$

where $w_\phi = p/\rho_\phi$.

III. SCALING SOLUTIONS

The condition Ω_ϕ/Ω_m constant defines scaling solutions. This is equivalent to ρ_ϕ/ρ_m constant, or to

$$\frac{d\log\rho_\phi}{dN} = \frac{d\log\rho_m}{dN} \tag{36}$$

Also, from Eq. (33) we get that Ω_ϕ is a constant. We also assume that on scaling solutions the equation of state parameter w_ϕ is a constant [26]. Subtracting both Eqs. (34) and (35) and using Eq. (36) we get

$$\frac{d\phi}{dN} = -\frac{3\Omega_\phi w_\phi}{Q} \propto \frac{1}{Q}. \tag{37}$$

Back to Eqs. (34) and (35) we get

$$\frac{d\log\rho_\phi}{dN} = \frac{d\log\rho_m}{dN} = -3(1+w_{eff}), \tag{38}$$

where $w_{eff} = w_\phi \Omega_\phi$. Now, from w_ϕ constant, we have

$$\frac{d\log p}{dN} = -3(1+w_{eff}) \tag{39}$$

At this point we need a crucial statement, namely that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to the pressure of the scalar field, up to boundary terms. The demonstration that indeed this is true for the entire Horndeski Lagrangian is rather long and tedious and we moved it to the Appendix C. For our purposes, it is enough to show this to be true for a flat FLRW metric, since we are looking for scaling solutions only on such a metric. We conjecture that $\mathcal{L} = p$ for any metric; this indeed can easily be shown to be the case for the K and the G_3 terms and we will present the general proof elsewhere.

From the equality $\mathcal{L} = p$ we have then

$$\begin{aligned} p &= K - G_3\Box\phi + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + G_4\right)R + G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2 - \boxtimes\phi] \\ &\quad + G_5(\phi,X)(\boxplus\phi) - \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3 - 3(\Box\phi)\boxtimes\phi + 2(\boxdot\phi) \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

with

$$\boxtimes\phi \equiv (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) \tag{41}$$

$$\boxplus\phi \equiv G_{\mu\nu}(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) \tag{42}$$

$$\boxdot\phi \equiv (\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)(\nabla^\beta\nabla^\mu\phi) \tag{43}$$

For FLRW metric, we have $R = 6\dot{H} + 12H^2$. Then, using Einstein equations, we get

$$R = \left(\frac{1}{w_\phi\Omega_\phi} - 3\right)p. \tag{44}$$

That is, for scaling solutions we have $R = \tilde{c}p$, with $\tilde{c}$ a constant.

Now defining

$$f(\phi,X) \equiv \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - G_4(\phi,X)\right)\tilde{c}\right], \tag{45}$$

we can write the pressure of dark energy as

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{1}{f(\phi,X)}\Big[K(\phi,X) - G_3(\phi,X)\Box\phi + G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2 - \boxtimes\phi] \\ &\quad + G_5(\phi,X)(\boxplus\phi) - \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3 - 3(\Box\phi)\boxtimes\phi + 2(\boxdot\phi)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

That is, $p = p(X, \Box\phi, \boxtimes\phi, \boxplus\phi, \boxdot\phi, \phi)$

Now we want to find a generalized "master equation" for $p = p(X, \Box\phi, \boxtimes\phi, \boxplus\phi, \boxdot\phi, \phi)$. Eq. (39) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\phi}\frac{d\phi}{dN} + \frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log X}\frac{d\log X}{dN} + \frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\Box\phi}\frac{d\log\Box\phi}{dN} + \frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxtimes\phi}\frac{d\log\boxtimes\phi}{dN} \\ &+ \frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxplus\phi}\frac{d\log\boxplus\phi}{dN} + \frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxdot\phi}\frac{d\log\boxdot\phi}{dN} = -3(1+w_{eff}). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Now we know that

$$\frac{d\phi}{dN} = -\frac{3\Omega_\phi w_\phi}{Q} = 3(1+w_{eff})\frac{1}{\lambda Q},$$

with

$$\lambda = -\frac{1+w_{eff}}{w_{eff}}. \tag{48}$$

We need the partial derivatives $d\log X/dN$, $d\log\Box\phi/dN$, $d\log\boxtimes\phi/dN$, $d\log\boxplus\phi/dN$ and $d\log\boxdot\phi/dN$ that are obtained as follows (for details, see Appendix C):

A. $d\log X/dN$

From the definition of X and Eq. (37) we have

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{H^2}{2}\left(\frac{d\phi}{dN}\right)^2 \propto \frac{H^2}{Q^2} = \frac{\rho_\phi}{3\Omega_\phi}\frac{1}{Q^2} \propto \frac{p}{Q^2}, \tag{49}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\log X}{dN} &= \frac{d\log p}{dN} - 2\frac{d\log Q}{dN} \\
&= -3(1+w_{\rm eff}) - \frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\frac{d\phi}{dN} \qquad (50)\\
&= -3(1+w_{\rm eff}) - \frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\left(-\frac{3\Omega_\phi w_\phi}{Q}\right) \qquad (51)\\
&= -3(1+w_{\rm eff})\left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right) \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

B. $d\log \Box\phi/dN$

We start with (for details here and forthe next terms, see Appendices A and B)

$$\Box\phi = -3H\dot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi} \tag{53}$$

Now, from Eq. (37) this can be rewritten as

$$\Box\phi = \frac{3}{2}(1-w_{eff})\frac{p}{Q}\left(1 - \frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{1+w_{\rm eff}}{1-w_{\rm eff}}\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right), \tag{54}$$

So far we put no restrictions on the coupling function Q. However we find that the analysis is very simplified if we assume

$$\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi} = c = const. \tag{55}$$

This restricts the coupling to be

$$Q(\phi) = \frac{1}{-c\phi + c_2}, \tag{56}$$

with c_2 constant. From now we we will consider this restriction in $Q(\phi)$. From Eq. (54) we have then

$$\log\Box\phi = \log p - \log Q + const., \tag{57}$$

which gives

$$\frac{\partial\log\Box\phi}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right). \tag{58}$$

C. $d\log \boxtimes\phi/dN$

We start with

$$\boxtimes\,\phi = (\ddot{\phi})^2 + 3H^2(\dot{\phi})^2. \tag{59}$$

This results in

$$\frac{\partial\log\boxtimes\phi}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(2+\frac{2}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right). \tag{60}$$

D. $d\log\boxplus\phi/dN$

We start with

$$\boxplus\,\phi = -3H^2\ddot{\phi} - 6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi} - 9H^3\dot{\phi}. \tag{61}$$

This results in

$$\frac{\partial\log\boxplus\phi}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(2+\frac{1}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right). \tag{62}$$

E. $d\log\boxdot\phi/dN$

We start with

$$\boxdot\,\phi = -\ddot{\phi}^3 - 3H^3\dot{\phi}^3. \tag{63}$$

This results in

$$\frac{\partial\log\boxdot\phi}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(3+\frac{3}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right). \tag{64}$$

Finally, Eq. (47) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log X} + \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\square\phi} + \left(2+\frac{2}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxtimes\phi}\\ &+\left(2+\frac{1}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxplus\phi} + \left(3+\frac{3}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxdot\phi} - \frac{1}{\lambda Q}\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\phi} = 1.\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

As expected, the master equation reduces to the one obtained in Ref. [27] when $G_3(\phi,X) = G_5(\phi,X) = 0$ and $G_4(\phi,X) = 1/2$.

IV. SOLUTIONS FOR THE MASTER EQUATION

Here, after a convenient *Ansatz*, we derive the general solution for the master equation Eq. (65). Remember, however, that there are restrictions in the form of $Q(\phi)$, given by Eq. (56), that will be taken into account in due course. We start with Eq. (65) rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log X} + \left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\square\phi} + \left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxtimes\phi}\\ &+\left(2+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxplus\phi} + \left(3+\frac{3}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\log\boxdot\phi} - \frac{\partial\log p}{\partial\psi} = 1,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where

$$\psi = \int_\phi du[\lambda Q(u)]. \tag{67}$$

Now set

$$p = XQ^2(\phi)\tilde{G}(X,\square\phi,\boxtimes\phi,\boxplus\phi,\boxdot\phi,\phi). \tag{68}$$

where $\tilde{G}$ is an arbitray function of its argument. Then for $\tilde{G}\neq 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)X\frac{\partial\tilde{G}}{\partial X} + \left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\square\phi\frac{\partial\tilde{G}}{\partial\square\phi} + \left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxtimes\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{G}}{\partial(\boxtimes\phi)}\\ &+\left(2+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxplus\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{G}}{\partial(\boxplus\phi)} + \left(3+\frac{3}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxdot\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{G}}{\partial(\boxdot\phi)} - \frac{\partial\tilde{G}}{\partial\psi} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

where by (55) the term $\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}$ is a constant. This partial differential equation is linear in $\tilde{G}$. Then the method of separation of variables is justifiable. Here, however, inspired by the expression given by Eq. (46) for p, we look for solutions of $\tilde{G}$ of the form

$$\tilde{G}(X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi,\boxplus\phi,\boxdot\phi,\phi)=\frac{1}{f(\phi,X)}\tilde{g}(X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi,\boxplus\phi,\boxdot\phi,\phi). \tag{70}$$

Then, Eq. (69) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{f}\Bigg\{\Bigg[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)X\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial\psi}\Bigg]\frac{\tilde{g}}{f}\\&-\Bigg[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)X\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial X}+\left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\Box\phi\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\Box\phi}+\left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxtimes\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial(\boxtimes\phi)}\\&+\left(2+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxplus\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial(\boxplus\phi)}+\left(3+\frac{3}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxdot\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial(\boxdot\phi)}-\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\psi}\Bigg]\Bigg\}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

For $f\neq 0$ this is equivalent to $(\widehat{O}_1 f)\tilde{G}-\widehat{O}_2\tilde{g}=0$,where the operators $\widehat{O}_1$ and $\widehat{O}_2$ are evident in the equation above. For $G_4=1/2$ and $G_5=0$ we have $f=1$ and the linear differential equation $\widehat{O}_2\tilde{g}=0$ is reduced to a form already solved in our previous paper[19] . Now we want to generalize our former result for general G_4 and G_5. The simplest choice is to impose that $\tilde{g}$ and f satisfy separately the linear differential equations:

$$\widehat{O}_1 f=0, \tag{72}$$

that is

$$\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)X\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial\psi}=0 \tag{73}$$

with solution (known for the case where $G_2\neq 0$, $G_4=1/2$ and $G_3=G_5=0$).

$$f(\phi,X)=g_2(XQ^2e^{\psi}), \tag{74}$$

where g_2 is a general function. Now we turn to the equation for $\tilde{g}$:

$$\widehat{O}_2 g=0, \tag{75}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)X\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial X}+\left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\Box\phi\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\Box\phi}+\left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxtimes\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial(\boxtimes\phi)}\\&+\left(2+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxplus\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial(\boxplus\phi)}+\left(3+\frac{3}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)(\boxdot\phi)\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial(\boxdot\phi)}-\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\psi}=0\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

We do not want the general solution of this linear differential equation. Indeed, guided by the form of p, we look for a solution of the form (all other possibilites lead to trivial constant solutions or are not compatible with the form of the Lagrangian).

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}\;=\;&g_b(h_b)+g_c(h_c)+g_d(h_d)+g_\ell(h_\ell)+g_e(h_e)+g_j(h_j)+g_m(h_m)+g_n(h_n)+g_p(h_p)\\&+g_q(h_q)+g_r(h_r)+g_s(h_s)+g_t(h_t)+g_u(h_u)+g_z(h_v)+g_z(h_z),\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

where $g_b, g_c, g_d, ...$ are arbitrary functions and

$$\begin{aligned}
h_b(X,\psi) &= f_{1b}(X)f_{3b}(\psi), && (78)\\
h_c(X,\Box\phi) &= f_{1c}(X)f_{2c}(\Box\phi) && (79)\\
h_d(\Box\phi,\psi) &= f_{2d}(\Box\phi)f_{3d}(\psi). && (80)\\
h_\ell(X,\Box\phi,\psi) &= f_{1\ell}(X)f_{2\ell}(\Box\phi)f_{3\ell}(\psi) && (81)\\
h_e(X,\boxtimes\phi) &= f_{1e}(X)f_{4e}(\boxtimes\phi) && (82)\\
h_j(\boxtimes\phi,\psi) &= f_{3j}(\psi)f_{4j}(\boxtimes\phi) && (83)\\
h_m(X,\boxtimes\phi,\psi) &= f_{1m}(X)f_{3m}(\psi)f_{4m}(\boxtimes\phi) && (84)\\
h_n(\psi,\boxplus\phi) &= f_{2n}(\psi)f_{5n}(\boxplus\phi) && (85)\\
h_p(X,\boxplus\phi) &= f_{1p}(X)f_{5p}(\boxplus\phi) && (86)\\
h_q(X,\boxplus\phi,\psi) &= f_{1q}(X)f_{3q}(\psi)f_{5q}(\boxplus\phi) && (87)\\
h_r(X,\boxdot\phi) &= f_{1r}(X)f_{6r}(\boxdot\phi) && (88)\\
h_s(\psi,\boxdot\phi) &= f_{2s}(\psi)f_{6s}(\boxdot\phi) && (89)\\
h_t(X,\boxdot\phi,\psi) &= f_{1t}(X)f_{3t}(\psi)f_{6t}(\boxdot\phi) && (90)\\
h_u(X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi) &= f_{1u}(X)f_{2u}(\Box\phi)f_{4u}(\boxtimes\phi) && (91)\\
h_v(\psi,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi) &= f_{3v}(\psi)f_{2v}(\Box\phi)f_{4v}(\boxtimes\phi) && (92)\\
h_z(\psi,X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi) &= f_{1z}(X)f_{3z}(\psi)f_{2z}(\Box\phi)f_{4z}(\boxtimes\phi) && (93)
\end{aligned}$$

In the following we will consider separately each possibility. We will be guided by the general form given by Eq. (46) for p, which fixes the maximum order of the factors $\Box\phi, \boxtimes\phi, \boxplus\phi$ and $(\boxdot\phi)$.

A. $g_b(h_b(X,\psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg}{dh_b}\left[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{d\log f_{1b}}{d\log X}-\frac{1}{f_{3b}}\frac{df_{3b}}{d\psi}\right]=0, \tag{94}$$

which gives $f_{1b}=X^\alpha$ and $f_{3b}=e^{\alpha\psi}Q^{2\alpha}$. Then Eq. (78) gives

$$h_b=(XQ^2(\phi)e^\psi)^\alpha \tag{95}$$

and therefore

$$g(h_b)=g(XQ^2(\phi)e^\psi), \tag{96}$$

where g is an arbitrary function.

B. $g_c(h_c(X,\Box\phi))$

This gives g_c constant.

C. $g_d(h_d(\Box\phi,\psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_d}{dh_d}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{d\log f_{2d}}{d\log\Box\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3d}}\frac{df_{3d}}{d\psi}\right]=0. \tag{97}$$

which gives $f_{2d}=(\Box\phi)^\alpha$ and $f_{3d}=Q^\alpha e^{\alpha\psi}$. Then Eq. (80) gives

$$h_d=\left((\Box\phi)e^\psi Q\right)^\alpha \tag{98}$$

and therefore

$$g_d(h_d) = g_d\bigg((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\bigg). \tag{99}$$

Now comparing with Eq. (46) for p, we see that $g_d(h_d)$ must be at most third order in $\Box\phi$. Then we have

$$g_d(h_d) = d_1\bigg((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\bigg) + d_2\bigg((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\bigg)^2 + d_3\bigg((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\bigg)^3, \tag{100}$$

with d_1, d_2, d_3 constants.

D. $g_\ell(h_\ell(X, \Box\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_\ell}{dh_\ell}\bigg[\bigg(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\bigg)\frac{1}{f_{1\ell}}\frac{df_{1\ell}}{d\log X} + \bigg(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\bigg)\frac{1}{f_{2\ell}}\frac{df_{2\ell}}{d\log\Box\phi} - \frac{1}{f_{3\ell}}\frac{df_{3\ell}}{d\psi}\bigg] = 0 \tag{101}$$

which gives $f_{1\ell} = X^\alpha$, $f_{2\ell} = (\Box\phi)^\beta$ and $f_{3\ell} = e^{(\alpha+\beta)\psi}Q^{2\alpha+\beta}$. Then Eq. (81) gives

$$h_\ell = \bigg[X(\Box\phi)^{\beta/\alpha}e^{(1+\beta/\alpha)\psi}Q^{2+\beta/\alpha}\bigg]^\alpha \tag{102}$$

and

$$g_\ell(h_\ell) = g_\ell\bigg(X(\Box\phi)^n e^{(1+n)\psi}Q^{2+n}\bigg), \tag{103}$$

where from Eq. (46) for p, the exponent n can be 1, 2 or 3 for terms linear, quadratic or cubic in $(\Box\phi)$. This leads to

$$g_\ell(h_\ell) = \ell_1\bigg(X(\Box\phi)e^{2\psi}Q^3(\phi)\bigg) + \ell_2\bigg(X(\Box\phi)^2e^{3\psi}Q^4(\phi)\bigg) + \ell_3\bigg(X(\Box\phi)^3e^{4\psi}Q^5(\phi)\bigg), \tag{104}$$

with ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 constants

E. $g_e(h_e(X, \boxtimes\phi))$

This gives g_e constant.

F. $g_j(h_j(\boxtimes\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_j}{dh_j}\bigg[\bigg(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\bigg)\frac{d\log f_{4j}}{d\log\boxtimes\phi} - \frac{1}{f_{3j}}\frac{df_{3j}}{d\psi}\bigg] = 0. \tag{105}$$

which gives $f_{4j} = (\boxtimes\phi)^\alpha$ and $f_{3j} = Q^{2\alpha}e^{2\alpha\psi}$. Then Eq. (83) gives

$$h_j = \bigg((\boxtimes\phi)e^{2\psi}Q^2\bigg)^\alpha. \tag{106}$$

Comparing with Eq. (46) for p, we see that $g_j(h_j)$ must be at most linear in $\Box\phi$. Then we get

$$g_j(h_j) = j\bigg((\boxtimes\phi)Q^2e^{2\psi}\bigg), \tag{107}$$

with j a constant.

G. $g_m(h_m(X, \boxtimes\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_m}{dh_m}\left[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{1m}}\frac{df_{1m}}{d\log X}+\left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{2m}}\frac{d\log f_{2m}}{d\log \boxtimes\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3m}}\frac{df_{3m}}{d\psi}\right]=0 \tag{108}$$

which gives $f_{1m} = X^\alpha$, $f_{4m} = (\boxtimes\phi)^\beta$ and $f_{3m} = e^{(\alpha+2\beta)\psi}Q^{2\alpha+2\beta}$. Then Eq. (84) gives

$$h_m = \left[X(\boxtimes\phi)^{\beta/\alpha}e^{(1+2\beta/\alpha)\psi}Q^{2+2\beta/\alpha}\right]^\alpha. \tag{109}$$

Then comparing with Eq. (46) we get

$$g_m(h_m) = m\bigg(X(\boxtimes\phi)e^{3\psi}Q^4\bigg), \tag{110}$$

with m a constant.

H. $g_p(h_p(X, \square\phi))$

This gives g_p constant.

I. $g_n(h_n(\square\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_n}{dh_n}\left[\left(2+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{d\log f_{5n}}{d\log \square\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3n}}\frac{df_{3n}}{d\psi}\right]=0. \tag{111}$$

which gives $f_{5n} = (\square\phi)^\alpha$ and $f_{3n} = Q^\alpha e^{2\alpha\psi}$. Then Eq. (83) gives

$$h_n = \bigg((\square\phi)e^{2\psi}Q\bigg)^\alpha. \tag{112}$$

Comparing with Eq. (46), we see that $g_n(h_n)$ must be at most linear in $(\square\phi)$.Then we get

$$g_n(h_n) = n\bigg((\square\phi)Qe^{2\psi}\bigg), \tag{113}$$

with n a constant.

J. $g_q(h_q(X, \square\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_q}{dh_q}\left[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{1q}}\frac{df_{1q}}{d\log X}+\left(2+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{5q}}\frac{d\log f_{5q}}{d\log \square\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3q}}\frac{df_{3q}}{d\psi}\right]=0 \tag{114}$$

which gives $f_{1q} = X^\alpha$, $f_{5q} = (\square\phi)^\beta$ and $f_{3q} = e^{(\alpha+2\beta)\psi}Q^{2\alpha+\beta}$. Then Eq. (84) gives

$$h_q = \left[X(\square\phi)^{\beta/\alpha}e^{(1+2\beta/\alpha)\psi}Q^{2+\beta/\alpha}\right]^\alpha. \tag{115}$$

Then comparing with Eq. (46), we get

$$g_q(h_q) = q\bigg(X(\square\phi)e^{3\psi}Q^3\bigg), \tag{116}$$

with m a constant.

K. $g_r(h_r(X, \boxdot\phi))$

This gives g_r constant.

L. $g_s(h_s(\boxdot\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_s}{dh_s}\left[\left(3+\frac{3}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{d\log f_{6s}}{d\log \boxdot\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3s}}\frac{df_{3s}}{d\psi}\right]=0. \tag{117}$$

which gives $f_{6s}=(\boxdot\phi)^\alpha$ and $f_{3n}=Q^{3\alpha}e^{3\alpha\psi}$. Then Eq. (83) gives

$$h_s=\left((\boxplus\phi)e^{3\psi}Q^3\right)^\alpha. \tag{118}$$

Then comparing with Eq. (46), we get

$$g_s(h_n)=s\left((\boxdot\phi)Q^3e^{3\psi}\right), \tag{119}$$

with s a constant.

M. $g_t(h_t(X, \boxdot\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_t}{dh_t}\left[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{1t}}\frac{df_{1t}}{d\log X}+\left(3+\frac{3}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{6t}}\frac{df_{6t}}{d\log \boxdot\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3t}}\frac{df_{3t}}{d\psi}\right]=0 \tag{120}$$

which gives $f_{1t}=X^\alpha$, $f_{6t}=(\boxdot\phi)^\beta$ and $f_{3t}=e^{(\alpha+3\beta)\psi}Q^{2\alpha+3\beta}$. Then Eq. (90) gives

$$h_t=\left[X(\boxdot\phi)^{\beta/\alpha}e^{(1+3\beta/\alpha)\psi}Q^{2+3\beta/\alpha}\right]^\alpha. \tag{121}$$

Comparing with Eq. (46) for p, we see that $g_t(h_t)$ must be at most linear in $(\boxplus\phi)$. Then we get

$$g_t(h_t)=t\left(X(\boxdot\phi)e^{4\psi}Q^5\right), \tag{122}$$

with t a constant.

N. $g_u(h_u(X, \square\phi, \boxtimes\phi))$

This gives g_u constant.

O. $g_v(h_v(\square\phi, \boxtimes\phi, \psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_v}{dh_v}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{d\log f_{1v}}{d\log X}+\left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{d\log f_{4v}}{d\log \boxtimes\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3s}}\frac{df_{3v}}{d\psi}\right]=0. \tag{123}$$

which gives

$$g_v(h_v)=v\left((\square\phi)(\boxtimes\phi)Q^3e^{3\psi}\right), \tag{124}$$

with v a constant.

P. $g_z(h_z(X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi,\psi))$

Eq. (69) gives

$$\frac{dg_z}{dh_z}\Bigg[\left(1+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{1z}}\frac{df_{1z}}{d\log X}+\left(1+\frac{1}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{2z}}\frac{df_{2z}}{d\log\Box\phi}$$
$$+\left(2+\frac{2}{Q}\frac{dQ}{d\psi}\right)\frac{1}{f_{4z}}\frac{df_{4z}}{d\log\boxtimes\phi}-\frac{1}{f_{3z}}\frac{df_{3z}}{d\psi}\Bigg]=0 \tag{125}$$

which gives $f_{1z}=X^\alpha$, $f_{2z}=(\Box\phi)^\beta$, $f_{4z}=(\boxtimes\phi)^\beta$, and $f_{3t}=e^{(\alpha+3\beta)\psi}Q^{2\alpha+3\beta}$. Then Eq. (90) gives

$$h_z=\left[X(\Box\phi\boxtimes\phi)^{\beta/\alpha}e^{(1+3\beta/\alpha)\psi}Q^{2+3\beta/\alpha}\right]^\alpha. \tag{126}$$

Then comparing with Eq. (46), we get

$$g_t(h_z)=z\left(X(\Box\phi\boxtimes\phi)e^{4\psi}Q^5\right), \tag{127}$$

with z a constant.

Q. General form for Lagrangian with scaling solution

From the former results and Eq. (77) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde g(X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi,\boxplus\phi,\boxdot\phi,\phi) \;=\;& g(XQ^2(\phi)e^\psi)+d_1\left((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^\psi\right)+d_2\left((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^\psi\right)^2\\
&+d_3\left((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^\psi\right)^3+\ell_1\left(X(\Box\phi)e^{2\psi}Q^3(\phi)\right)+\ell_2\left(X(\Box\phi)^2e^{3\psi}Q^4(\phi)\right)\\
&+\ell_3\left(X(\Box\phi)^3e^{4\psi}Q^5(\phi)\right)+j(Q^2(\phi)e^{2\psi}(\boxtimes\phi))+m(XQ^4(\phi)e^{3\psi}(\boxtimes\phi))\\
&+n\left((\boxplus\phi)Q(\phi)e^{2\psi}\right)+q\left(X(\boxplus\phi)e^{3\psi}Q^3\right)+s\left((\boxdot\phi)Q(\phi)^3e^{3\psi}\right)\\
&+t\left(X(\boxdot\phi)e^{4\psi}Q^5\right)+v\left((\Box\phi)(\boxtimes\phi)Q^3e^{3\psi}\right)\\
&+z\left(X(\Box\phi\boxtimes\phi)e^{4\psi}Q^5\right).\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

We have also obtained

$$f(\phi,X)=g_2(XQ^2e^\psi), \tag{129}$$

Now we need to compare our results and Eq. (68) , rewritten as

$$p=\frac{1}{f(\phi,X)}[XQ^2(\phi)\tilde g(X,\Box\phi,\boxtimes\phi,\boxplus\phi,\boxdot\phi,\phi)] \tag{130}$$

with the expression of p given by Eq. (46)**,** rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}p \;=\;& \frac{1}{\left[1+\left(\frac{1}{2}-G_4(\phi,X)\right)\tilde c\right]}\Bigg[K(\phi,X)-G_3(\phi,X)\Box\phi+G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-\boxtimes\phi]\\
&+G_5(\phi,X)(\boxplus\phi)-\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3-3(\Box\phi)\boxtimes\phi+2(\boxdot\phi)\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

This results in

$$1+\left(\frac{1}{2}-G_4(\phi,X)\right)\tilde{c} = g_2(XQ^2e^{\psi}) \tag{132}$$

$$K(\phi,X) = XQ^2g(XQ^2(\phi)e^{\psi}) \tag{133}$$

$$-G_3(\phi,X)\Box\phi = XQ^2\Big[d_1\Big((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\Big) +\ell_1\Big(X(\Box\phi)e^{2\psi}Q^3(\phi)\Big)\Big] \tag{134}$$

$$G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-\boxtimes\phi] = XQ^2\Big[d_2\Big((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\Big)^2 +\ell_2\Big(X(\Box\phi)^2e^{3\psi}Q^4(\phi)\Big) +j(Q^2(\phi)e^{2\psi}\boxtimes\phi) +m(XQ^4(\phi)e^{3\psi}\boxtimes\phi)\Big] \tag{135}$$

$$G_5(\phi,X)(\boxplus\phi) = XQ^2\Big[n\Big((\boxplus\phi)Q(\phi)e^{2\psi}\Big) +q\Big(X(\boxplus\phi)e^{3\psi}Q^3\Big)\Big] \tag{136}$$

$$-\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3-3(\Box\phi)\boxtimes\phi+2(\boxdot\phi)\Big] = XQ^2\Big[d_3\Big((\Box\phi)Q(\phi)e^{\psi}\Big)^3 +\ell_3\Big(X(\Box\phi)^3e^{4\psi}Q^5(\phi)\Big) +v\Big((\Box\phi)(\boxtimes\phi)Q^3e^{3\psi}\Big) z\Big(X(\Box\phi\boxtimes\phi)e^{4\psi}Q^5\Big) +s\Big((\boxdot\phi)Q(\phi)^3e^{3\psi}\Big) +t\Big(X(\boxdot\phi)e^{4\psi}Q^5\Big)\Big] \tag{137}$$

Now, in each of the former equations from above we must impose relations between the general constants in order to get a compatible solution for the general functions.

- For G_4:

$$d_2=-j, \tag{138}$$

$$\ell_2=-m, \tag{139}$$

- For G_5:

$$n = 0, \tag{140}$$
$$\ell_3 = 0, \tag{141}$$
$$t = 0, \tag{142}$$
$$z = 0 \tag{143}$$
$$v = -3d_3, \tag{144}$$
$$s = 2d_3, \tag{145}$$

Additionally, consistency between expressions for G_5 and $G_{5,X}$ gives

$$d_3 \;=\; -\frac{1}{3}q. \tag{146}$$

The above conditions and consistency between expressions for G_4 and $G_{4,X}$ result in the following expressions for the functions that compose the Horndeski Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\phi,X) &= XQ^2 g(XQ^2e^{\psi}) && (147)\\
G_3(\phi,X) &= -d_1XQ^3e^{\psi} - \ell_1X^2Q^5e^{2\psi} && (148)\\
G_4(\phi,X) &= h(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}d_2X^2Q^4e^{2\psi} + \frac{1}{3}\ell_2Xe^{3\psi}Q^6 && (149)\\
G_5(\phi,X) &= qX^2e^{3\psi}Q^5. && (150)
\end{aligned}$$

where $h(\phi)$ is a general smooth function of ϕ. Then the general scaling Horndeski Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H \;=\;& XQ^2g(XQ^2e^{\psi}) - [d_1XQ^3e^{\psi} + \ell_1X^2Q^5e^{2\psi}]\Box\phi\\
&+\left(h(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}d_2X^2Q^4e^{2\psi} + \frac{1}{3}\ell_2X^3e^{3\psi}Q^6\right)R\\
&+\left(d_2XQ^4e^{2\psi} + \ell_2X^2e^{3\psi}Q^6\right)[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)]\\
&+qX^2e^{3\psi}Q^5G_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi\\
&-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)2qXe^{3\psi}Q^5[(\Box\phi)^3 - 3(\Box\phi)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)\\
&+2(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)(\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi)]. \qquad (151)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that case $d_2 = \ell_2 = q = 0$ and $h(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}$ recover the solution we have found in Ref. [19] for scaling cosmological solutions in the KGB model.

Now, in order to ease the comparison with the literature, let us make the following field redefinitions. First of all take $\psi \to \lambda\psi$. Then

$$\psi(\phi) = \int_\phi du Q(u). \tag{152}$$

Now consider $\phi \to \psi(\phi)$, with $\psi(\phi)$ given by Eq. (152). This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
X &\to X_\psi = XQ^2(\phi) && (153)\\
Q\Box\phi &\to \Box\psi + 2\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}X_\psi && (154)\\
Q\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi &\to \nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi - \frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\partial^\mu\psi\partial^\nu\psi && (155)\\
Q^2\boxtimes\phi &\to \boxtimes\psi - 2\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi + 4\left(\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\right)^2X_\psi^2 && (156)\\
Q^3\boxdot\phi &\to \boxdot\psi - \frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\Big(\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi + \nabla^\mu\psi\nabla_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\partial_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi\\
&\quad+\nabla^\mu\psi\nabla_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\partial_\mu\psi\Big)\\
&\quad-\left(\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\right)^2\Big(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi + \partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi\\
&\quad+\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi\Big) - \left(\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\right)^3(8X_\psi^2) && (157)\\
\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi} &\to \frac{d\log Q}{d\psi} && (158)
\end{aligned}$$

Then Eq. (151) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H &= X_\psi g(X_\psi e^{\lambda\psi}) - [d_1 X_\psi e^{\lambda\psi} + \ell_1 X_\psi^2 e^{2\lambda\psi}]\left(\Box\psi + 2\frac{d\ln Q}{d\psi}X_\psi\right) \\
&+\left(h(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}d_2 X_\psi^2 e^{2\lambda\psi} + \frac{1}{3}\ell_2 X_\psi^3 e^{3\lambda\psi}\right)R \\
&+\left(d_2 X_\psi e^{2\lambda\psi} + \ell_2 X_\psi^2 e^{3\lambda\psi}\right)\left[(\Box\psi)^2 - \boxtimes\phi + 4\frac{d\ln Q}{d\psi}\left(X_\psi\Box\psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla_\mu\nabla^\nu\psi\right)\right] \\
&+qX_\psi^2 e^{3\lambda\psi}G_{\mu\nu}\left(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi - \frac{d\ln Q}{d\psi}\partial^\mu\psi\partial^\nu\psi\right) \\
&-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)2qX_\psi e^{3\lambda\psi}\Big\{(\Box\psi)^3 - 3(\Box\psi)\boxtimes\psi + 2\boxdot\psi \\
&+\frac{d\ln Q}{d\psi}\Big[6(\Box\psi)^2 X_\psi - 6X_\psi\boxtimes\psi + 6(\Box\psi)\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi \\
&-2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi - 2\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi \\
&-2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi\Big)\Big] \\
&+\left(\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\right)^2\Big[12X_\psi\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi - 2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi \\
&-2\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi - 2\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi\Big] \\
&+\left(\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi}\right)^3(-8X_\psi^3)\Big\}. \qquad (159)
\end{aligned}$$

It could seem that the Lagrangian has an explicit dependence on the coupling $Q(\phi)$. However, remember that in this paper we are considering couplings satisfying Eq. (55), that is

$$\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi} = c. \qquad (160)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{d\log Q}{d\psi} = c. \qquad (161)$$

This means the Horndeski Lagrangian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H &= X_\psi g(X_\psi e^{\lambda\psi}) - [d_1 X_\psi e^{\lambda\psi} + \ell_1 X_\psi^2 e^{2\lambda\psi}]\,(\Box\psi + 2cX_\psi) \\
&+\left(h(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}d_2 X_\psi^2 e^{2\lambda\psi} + \frac{1}{3}\ell_2 X_\psi^3 e^{3\lambda\psi}\right)R \\
&+\left(d_2 X_\psi e^{2\lambda\psi} + \ell_2 X_\psi^2 e^{3\lambda\psi}\right)\left[(\Box\psi)^2 - \boxtimes\phi + 4c\left(X_\psi\Box\psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla_\mu\nabla^\nu\psi\right)\right] \\
&+qX_\psi^2 e^{3\lambda\psi}G_{\mu\nu}\left(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi - c\partial^\mu\psi\partial^\nu\psi\right) \\
&-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)2qX_\psi e^{3\lambda\psi}\Big\{(\Box\psi)^3 - 3(\Box\psi)\boxtimes\psi + 2\boxdot\psi \\
&+c\Big[6(\Box\psi)^2 X_\psi - 6X_\psi\boxtimes\psi + 6(\Box\psi)\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi \\
&-2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi - 2\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi \\
&-2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi\Big)\Big] \\
&+c^2\Big[12X_\psi\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\psi - 2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi \\
&-2\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\psi\partial^\beta\psi\partial_\mu\psi - 2\partial^\mu\psi\partial_\alpha\psi\partial^\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\psi\Big] \\
&+c^3(-8X_\psi^2)\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

That is, when expressed in terms of ψ, there is no dependence at all on the Lagrangian in the coupling (at least considering Eq. (55) to be valid). This can be understood since the definition of the coupling Q, given by Eq. (10), when expressed in terms of ψ, assumes the form of a constant coupling:

$$1 = -\frac{1}{\rho_m\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta S_m}{\delta\psi}. \tag{163}$$

This shows that, for the full Horndeski Lagrangian, the Lagrangian with scaling solutions written in terms of ϕ and its derivatives, with a general coupling satisfying $\frac{d\log Q}{d\phi}$ constant, is equivalent to the above Lagrangian written in terms of ψ and its derivatives, for the coupling $Q = 1$. In other words, if one is interested in scaling solutions with couplings $\frac{d\log Q}{d\phi}$ constant, it is sufficient to work with a Lagrangian given by Eq. (162), independently of the particular coupling considered. It is important to remark, however, that the general form of the full Horndeski Lagrangian found in this work do not apply to more general couplings with $\frac{d\log Q}{d\phi}$ not constant. Also, remember that the restriction for the couplings given by Eq. (55) appears after Eq. (54). That is, if one has a Horndeski Lagrangian with $G_3 = G_5 = 0$ and $G_4 = 1/2$, we have a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_H(X,\phi) = X_\psi(X_\psi e^{\lambda\psi}) + \frac{1}{2}R$ with no restrictions at all in the coupling Q. In that case one can indeed affirm, as done in Ref.[27], that the case of constant coupling $Q = 1$ is the most general.

Now we make the redefinition $\bar{\phi} = \psi/\bar{Q}$, with $\bar{Q}$ a constant. This helps to compare with the literature, e.g. [19, 25–27]. In the exponents that appear in the Lagrangian this is equivalent to a redefinition of λ. Then, after dropping the bars we can rewrite $\mathcal{L}_H$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H &= Q^2 X g(Q^2 Y) - \left[d_1 Q^2 Y + \ell_1 Q^4 Y^2\right](Q\Box\phi + 2(cQ)QX) + \left(h(Q\phi) + \frac{1}{2}d_2 Q^4 Y^2 + \frac{1}{3}\ell_2 Q^6 Y^3\right)R \\
&+\left(d_2 Q^4 \frac{Y^2}{X} + \ell_2 Q^4 \frac{Y^3}{X}\right)\left[Q^2(\Box\phi)^2 - Q^2 \boxtimes \phi + 4(cQ)\left(Q^2 X\Box\phi + Q^2\frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\nabla_\mu\nabla^\nu\phi\right)\right] \\
&+qQ^4\frac{Y^3}{X}G_{\mu\nu}\left(Q\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - (cQ)Q\partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi\right) \\
&-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)2qQ^2\frac{Y^3}{X^2}\Big\{Q^3(\Box\phi)^3 - 3Q^3(\Box\phi)\boxtimes\phi + 2Q^3\boxdot\phi \\
&+(cQ)\Big[6Q^3(\Box\phi)^2 X - 6Q^3 X\boxtimes\phi + 6Q^3(\Box\phi)\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi \\
&-2Q^3\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi\partial^\beta\phi\partial_\mu\phi - 2Q^3\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi \\
&-2Q^3\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi\Big)\Big] \\
&+(cQ)^2\Big[12Q^3 X\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - 2Q^3\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\partial^\beta\phi\partial_\mu\phi \\
&-2Q^3\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi\partial^\beta\phi\partial_\mu\phi - 2Q^3\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi\Big] \\
&+(cQ)^3(-8Q^3X^2)\Big\}. \qquad (164)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\lambda = -Q\left(\frac{1+w_{\rm eff}}{w_{\rm eff}}\right) \qquad (165)
$$

$$
Y = Xe^{\lambda\psi}. \qquad (166)
$$

Since the system has symmetry under a simultaneous change of sign of λ and Q, we will be considering from now on $\lambda > 0$. Next, redefining the general constants and functions we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H &= Xg(Y) - \left[d_1 Y + \ell_1 Y^2\right](\Box\phi + 2cX) + \left(h(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}d_2 Y^2 + \frac{1}{3}\ell_2 Y^3\right)R \\
&+\left(d_2\frac{Y^2}{X} + \ell_2\frac{Y^3}{X}\right)\left[(\Box\phi)^2 - \boxtimes\phi + 4c\left(X\Box\phi + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\nabla_\mu\nabla^\nu\phi\right)\right] \\
&+q\frac{Y^3}{X}G_{\mu\nu}\left(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - c\partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi\right) - \frac{q}{3}\frac{Y^3}{X^2}\Big\{(\Box\phi)^3 - 3(\Box\phi)\boxtimes\phi + 2\boxdot\phi \\
&+c\Big[6(\Box\phi)^2 X - 6X\boxtimes\phi + 6(\Box\phi)\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - 2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi\partial^\beta\phi\partial_\mu\phi - 2\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi \\
&-2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi\Big)\Big] + c^2\Big[12X\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - 2\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\partial^\beta\phi\partial_\mu\phi \\
&-2\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi\partial^\beta\phi\partial_\mu\phi - 2\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi\Big] - 8c^3X^2\Big\}. \qquad (167)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now comparing the expressions for the Horndeski Lagrangian given by Eqs. (151) and (167) one sees that the latter expression is more complex than the former. The two equivalent versions are related by field redefinitions: in the form given by Eq. (151), the general Horndeski functions K, G_3, G_4, G_5 are more evident, but the Lagrangian depends on the coupling Q; the form given by Eq. (167) displays a constant coupling, but has a more intrincate structure.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper offers two insights into the vast realm of the Hornesdki Lagrangians. First, we show that the entire Lagrangian is equivalent to the scalar field pressure (at least in a FLRW metric), extending earlier results valid for the K, G_3 subclass. This result is then employed to identify the form of the Horndeski Lagrangian that contains scaling solutions in which the ratio of matter to field density and the equation of state are constant. This also generalizes previous results, in particular Ref. [19].

The existence of this particular class of solution is interesting since it could represent a solution of the coincidence problem. If the ratio Ω_m/Ω_ϕ depends on the fundamental constant of the theory, instead of on initial conditions, then the fact that it is close to unity would no longer be a surprising coincidence. On the other hand, nothing guarantees that such a scaling solution is stable or viable, in the sense of providing a valid cosmology when compared to observations. Indeed we found in Ref. [19] that this was not the case for the subclass K, G_3 because one has either a stable scaling accelerated regime or a well-behaved matter era, but not both. We conjecture that this negative result extends to the full scaling Horndeski Lagrangian (151) or (167) but due to its very complicate form a full proof is still to be elaborated.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A. G. thanks FAPEMA and CNPq for financial support and ITP for the hospitality. L.A. acknowledges support from DFG under the TransRegio33 project "The Dark Universe". L.A. acknowledges interesting discussions with Ignacy Sawicki.

[1] S. Perlmutter et al. (Supernova Cosmology Project), Astrophys. J. 517, 565 (1999), astro-ph/9812133.
[2] A. G. Riess et al. (Supernova Search Team), Astron. J. 116, 1009 (1998), astro-ph/9805201.
[3] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B302, 668 (1988).
[4] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D37, 3406 (1988).
[5] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, and D. Wands, Phys.Rev. D57, 4686 (1998), gr-qc/9711068.
[6] P. G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, Phys. Rev. D58, 023503 (1998), astro-ph/9711102.
[7] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P. J. Steinhardt, Physical Review Letters 80, 1582 (1998), astro-ph/9708069.
[8] C. Wetterich, Astron. Astrophys. 301, 321 (1995), hep-th/9408025.
[9] L. Amendola, Phys.Rev. D62, 043511 (2000), astro-ph/9908023.
[10] C. Baccigalupi, S. Matarrese, and F. Perrotta, Phys. Rev. D62, 123510 (2000), astro-ph/0005543.
[11] L. Amendola, C. Charmousis, and S. C. Davis, JCAP 12, 020 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0506137.
[12] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D63, 103510 (2001), astro-ph/0006373.
[13] G. W. Horndeski, Int.J.Th.Phys. 10, 363 (1974).
[14] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. Steer, and G. Zahariade, Phys.Rev. D84, 064039 (2011), 1103.3260.
[15] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, Prog.Theor.Phys. 126, 511 (2011), 1105.5723.
[16] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza, and F. Vernizzi (2013), 1304.4840.
[17] M. Zumalacárregui and J. García-Bellido, Phys. Rev. D 89, 064046 (2014), 1308.4685.
[18] C. Charmousis, E. J. Copeland, A. Padilla, and P. M. Saffin, Phys. Rev. D 85, 104040 (2012), 1112.4866.
[19] A. R. Gomes and L. Amendola, JCAP 3, 041 (2014), 1306.3593.
[20] L. Amendola, M. Quartin, S. Tsujikawa, and I. Waga, Phys.Rev. D74, 023525 (2006), astro-ph/0605488.
[21] L. Amendola, M. Baldi, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. D 78, 023015 (2008), 0706.3064.
[22] L. Amendola and D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys.Rev. D66, 043528 (2002), astro-ph/0111535.
[23] M. Baldi, Annalen der Physik 524, 602 (2012), 1204.0514.
[24] V. Vardanyan and L. Amendola, ArXiv e-prints (2015), 1502.05922.
[25] F. Piazza and S. Tsujikawa, JCAP 0407, 004 (2004), hep-th/0405054.
[26] S. Tsujikawa and M. Sami, Phys.Lett. B603, 113 (2004), hep-th/0409212.
[27] L. Amendola, M. Quartin, S. Tsujikawa, and I. Waga, Phys.Rev. D74, 023525 (2006), astro-ph/0605488.
[28] O. Pujolas, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, JHEP 1111, 156 (2011), 1103.5360.
[29] C. Deffayet, O. Pujolas, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, JCAP 1010, 026 (2010), 1008.0048.
[30] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D 62, 023511 (2000), astro-ph/9912463.
[31] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85, 4438 (2000), astro-ph/0004134.
[32] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, JCAP 1202, 007 (2012), 1110.3878.
[33] S. Tsujikawa, Dark Energy: Investigation and Modeling, in Dark Matter and Dark Energy - A Challenge for Modern Cosmology, Springer (2011).

Appendix A - Some useful relations for flrw metric

Metric determinant

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{-g} \;=\; \sqrt{-g}(3H). \tag{168}$$

Nonvanishing elements of the affine connection:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^0_{ij} &= A\dot{A}\eta_{ij}, && (169)\\
\Gamma^i_{0j} &= \Gamma^i_{j0} = H\delta^i_j, && (170)\\
\Gamma^1_{22} &= -r, && (171)\\
\Gamma^1_{33} &= -r\sin^2\theta, && (172)\\
\Gamma^2_{12} &= \Gamma^2_{21} = \Gamma^3_{13} = \Gamma^3_{31} = \frac{1}{r}, && (173)\\
\Gamma^2_{33} &= -\sin\theta\cos\theta, && (174)\\
\Gamma^3_{23} &= \Gamma^3_{32} = \cot\theta. && (175)
\end{aligned}$$

Nonvanishing components of Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{00} &= -3\frac{\ddot{A}}{A}, && (176)\\
R_{ij} &= (A\ddot{A} + 2\dot{A}^2)\eta_{ij}, && (177)\\
R &= 6\dot{H} + 12H^2. && (178)
\end{aligned}$$

Relation between R and p, after using Einstein equations in the above expression of R:

$$R \;=\; p\left(\frac{1}{w_\phi\Omega_\phi} - 3\right). \tag{179}$$

Components of Einstein tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
G^\mu_\mu &= -(6\dot{H} + 12H^2), && (180)\\
G^0_0 &= -3H^2, && (181)\\
G^i_j &= -(2\dot{H} + 3H^2)\delta^i_j. && (182)
\end{aligned}$$

We consider now the operators acting on ϕ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box\phi &= \nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\nabla^\mu\phi) = -3H\dot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}, && (183)\\
\boxtimes\phi &= \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi = (\ddot{\phi})^2 + 3H^2(\dot{\phi})^2, && (184)\\
\boxplus\phi &= G_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi = 3H^2\ddot{\phi} + 6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi} + 9H^3\dot{\phi}, && (185)\\
\boxdot\phi &= (\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)(\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi) = -(\ddot{\phi})^3 - 3H^3(\dot{\phi})^3. && (186)
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B - Some useful results for the master equation

From Eq. (37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\phi} &= -\frac{3H}{Q}w_\phi\Omega_\phi, && (187)\\
\ddot{\phi} &= -\frac{3\dot{H}}{Q}w_\phi\Omega_\phi - \frac{9H^2}{Q^3}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}(w_\phi\Omega_\phi)^2. && (188)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, with

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{3}{2}H^2(1+w_{eff}), \tag{189}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3}\frac{p}{w_{eff}}, \tag{190}$$

we get

$$\ddot{\phi} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{p}{Q}(1+w_{eff})\left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right), \tag{191}$$

with

$$\lambda = -\frac{1+w_{eff}}{w_\phi \Omega_\phi}. \tag{192}$$

Then the last expressions of Appendix A can be rewritten as

$$\Box\phi = \frac{3}{2}(1-w_{eff})\frac{p}{Q}\left(1-\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{1+w_{eff}}{1-w_{eff}}\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right), \tag{193}$$

$$\boxtimes\phi = \frac{p^2}{Q^2}\left\{\left[\frac{3}{2}(1+w_{eff})\left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\right]^2+3\right\}, \tag{194}$$

$$\square\!\!\square\phi = \frac{p^2}{Q}\left\{\frac{1}{w_{eff}}\frac{3}{2}(1+w_{eff})\left(3+\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)-\frac{3}{w_{eff}}\right\}, \tag{195}$$

$$\boxdot\phi = -\frac{p^3}{Q^3}\left\{\left[\frac{3}{2}(1+w_{eff})\left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right)\right]^3-3\right\}. \tag{196}$$

Now for $\frac{1}{Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}$ constant,

$$\ln\Box\phi = \ln p - \ln Q + constant, \tag{197}$$

$$\ln\boxtimes\phi = 2\ln p - 2\ln Q + constant, \tag{198}$$

$$\ln\square\!\!\square\phi = 2\ln p - \ln Q + constant, \tag{199}$$

$$\ln\boxdot\phi = 3\ln p - 3\ln Q + constant. \tag{200}$$

Then the corresponding partial derivatives with respect to N are

$$\frac{\partial\ln\Box\phi}{\partial N} = \frac{\partial\ln p}{\partial N}-\frac{\partial\ln Q}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right), \tag{201}$$

$$\frac{\partial\ln\boxtimes\phi}{\partial N} = 2\frac{\partial\ln p}{\partial N}-2\frac{\partial\ln Q}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(2+\frac{2}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right), \tag{202}$$

$$\frac{\partial\ln\square\!\!\square\phi}{\partial N} = 2\frac{\partial\ln p}{\partial N}-\frac{\partial\ln Q}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(2+\frac{1}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right), \tag{203}$$

$$\frac{\partial\ln\boxdot\phi}{\partial N} = 3\frac{\partial\ln p}{\partial N}-3\frac{\partial\ln Q}{\partial N} = -3(1+w_{eff})\left(3+\frac{3}{\lambda Q^2}\frac{dQ}{d\phi}\right). \tag{204}$$

Appendix C - Equality between lagrangian and pressure

Here we prove in detail the equivalence of the Lagrangian and the scalar field pressure in a FLRW metric. For completeness we rederive the already known results for K and G_3. The extension to G_4, G_5 is new.

A. Term $K(\phi, X)$

We start with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{total} &= \int d^4x \frac{1}{2}R - \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}K(\phi, X) && (205)\\ &= S_{EH} + S, && (206)\end{aligned}$$

That is, the Lagrangian in the action S is

$$L = K(\phi, X). \tag{207}$$

It is evident then that

$$p = L = K. \tag{208}$$

B. Term G_3:

We start with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{total} &= \int d^4x \frac{1}{2}R - \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_3(\Box\phi) = && (209)\\ &= S_{EH} + S, && (210)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$S = -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_3(\Box\phi). \tag{211}$$

That is, the Lagrangian in the action S is

$$L = -G_3(\Box\phi) = -G_3(-3H\dot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}). \tag{212}$$

We have

$$p = -2X(G_{3,\phi} + \ddot{\phi}G_{3,X}). \tag{213}$$

Now we compare with the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -G_3\Box\phi \\ &= -\nabla_\mu(G_3\nabla^\mu\phi) + (\nabla_\mu G_3)(\nabla^\mu\phi) \\ &= -\nabla_\mu(G_3\nabla^\mu\phi) + p. && (214)\end{aligned}$$

We note that the $L = p$, up to a covariant divergence, which integrated in the action, by Gauss's law results to be zero.

C. Term G_4 :

We start with

$$S_{total} = \int d^4x\frac{1}{2}R + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(G_4-\frac{1}{2}\right)R + G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-(\boxtimes\phi)\right] \quad (215)$$

$$= S_{EH}+S = I+III, \quad (216)$$

The Lagrangian in the action S is

$$L = \left(G_4-\frac{1}{2}\right)R + G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-(\boxtimes\phi)]. \quad (217)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} p &= -(3H^2+2\dot{H})(1-2G_4) - 12H^2XG_{4,X} \\ &\quad -4H\dot{X}G_{4,X} - 8\dot{H}XG_{4,X} - 8HX\dot{X}G_{4,XX} + 2(\ddot{\phi}+2H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi} \\ &\quad +4XG_{4,\phi\phi} + 4X(\ddot{\phi}-2H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi X}. \end{aligned} \quad (218)$$

Now we want to compare p with the Lagrangian. We start with

$$I = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(G_4-\frac{1}{2}\right)R \quad (219)$$

$$= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2-2\dot{H}) + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2-\dot{H})$$

$$= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2-2\dot{H}) + II + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-\dot{H}), \quad (220)$$

where

$$II = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2) \quad (221)$$

$$= \int d^4x\left(\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{-g}\right)(1-2G_4)\,(-H)$$

$$= -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{d}{dt}\left[(1-2G_4)\,(-H)\right]$$

$$= -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(-2G_{4,X}\dot{X}-2G_{4,\phi}\dot{\phi}\right)(-H)+(1-2G_4)\,(-\dot{H})\right]. \quad (222)$$

Back to I:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2-2\dot{H}) \\ &\quad +\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(2G_{4,X}\dot{X}+2G_{4,\phi}\dot{\phi}\right)(-H)-(1-2G_4)\,(-\dot{H})\right]+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-\dot{H}) \\ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2-2\dot{H}) \\ &\quad +\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(-2H\dot{X}G_{4,X}-2H\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (223)$$

Now consider the second part of the action S:

$$
\begin{aligned}
III &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-(\boxtimes\phi)] && (224)\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[(-3H\dot\phi-\ddot\phi)^2-((\ddot\phi)^2+3H^2(\dot\phi)^2)]\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[6H^2(\dot\phi)^2+6H\dot\phi\ddot\phi]\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[6H^2(\dot\phi)^2]+IV, && (225)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
IV &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[6H\dot\phi\ddot\phi] && (226)\\
&= 6\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}H\dot\phi\left(\frac{d}{dt}\dot\phi\right)\\
&= -6\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}H\dot\phi\right)\dot\phi\\
&= -6\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(3HG_{4,X}H\dot\phi+(G_{4,XX}\dot X+G_{4,X\phi}\dot\phi)H\dot\phi+G_{4,X}\dot H\dot\phi+G_{4,X}H\ddot\phi\right)\dot\phi\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(-36H^2X-12\dot HX-6H\dot X\right)G_{4,X}-12X\dot XHG_{4,XX}-12G_{4,X\phi}HX\dot\phi\right]. && (227)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then back to III:

$$
\begin{aligned}
III &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-(\boxtimes\phi)]\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{4,X}[6H^2(\dot\phi)^2]+IV\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(-24H^2X-6H\dot X-12\dot HX\right)G_{4,X}-12X\dot XHG_{4,XX}-12HX\dot\phi G_{4,X\phi}\right]. && (228)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now from I and III we recover the action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(G_4-\frac{1}{2}\right)R+G_{4,X}[(\Box\phi)^2-(\boxtimes\phi)\right]=I+III \qquad (229)\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(1-2G_4)\,(-3H^2-2\dot{H})+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(-2H\dot{X}G_{4,X}-2H\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi}\right)\\
&\quad+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\left(-24H^2X-6H\dot{X}-12\dot{H}X\right)G_{4,X}-12X\dot{X}HG_{4,XX}-12HX\dot{\phi}G_{4,X\phi}\right]\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}p+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{[(3H)(-4H^2X)+\frac{d}{dt}(-4HX)]G_{4,X}\\
&\quad+(-4XH)(\dot{X}G_{4,XX}+\dot{\phi}G_{4,X\phi})\}\\
&\quad+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{(-2\ddot{\phi}-6H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi}-4XG_{4,\phi\phi}+(-4X\ddot{\phi})G_{4,X\phi})\}\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}p+\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}(-4H^2X)G_{4,X}\right)\\
&\quad+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{(-2\ddot{\phi}-6H\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi}-2\dot{\phi}\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi\phi}-2\dot{\phi}\dot{X})G_{4,X\phi})\}\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}p+\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}(-4H^2X)G_{4,X}\right)\\
&\quad+\int d^4x\{-2\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\phi})\right)G_{4,\phi}-2\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\sqrt{-g})\right)(\dot{\phi}G_{4,\phi})-2\sqrt{-g}\dot{\phi}\frac{d}{dt}\,(G_{4,\phi})\}\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}p+\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}(-4H^2X)G_{4,X}\right)\\
&\quad+\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(-2\sqrt{-g}(\dot{\phi})G_{4,\phi}\right)\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}p. \qquad (230)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is again $L=p$.

D. Term G_5:

We start now with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{total} &= \int d^4x\frac{1}{2}R+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_5(\boxdot\phi)-\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3-3(\Box\phi)(\boxtimes\phi)+2(\odot\phi)]\} \qquad (231)\\
&= S_{EH}+S \qquad (232)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_5(\boxdot\phi)-\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3-3(\Box\phi)(\boxtimes\phi)+2(\odot\phi)]\}. \qquad (233)
$$

That is, the Lagrangian in the action S is

$$
L=G_5(\boxdot\phi)-\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(\Box\phi)^3-3(\Box\phi)(\boxtimes\phi)+2(\odot\phi)]. \qquad (234)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p &= -2X(2H^3\dot{\phi}+2H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+3H^2\ddot{\phi})G_{5,X}-4H^2X^2\ddot{\phi}G_{5,XX}\\
&\quad+4HX(\dot{X}-HX)G_{5,\phi X}+2[2(\dot{H}X+H\dot{X})+3H^2X]G_{5,\phi}+4HX\dot{\phi}G_{5,\phi\phi}. \qquad (235)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we want to compare p with the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= G_5(3H^2\ddot{\phi}+6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+9H^3\dot{\phi}) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}[(-3H\dot{\phi}-\ddot{\phi})^3-3(-3H\dot{\phi}-\ddot{\phi})((\ddot{\phi})^2+3H^2(\dot{\phi})^2)+2(-(\ddot{\phi})^3-3H^3(\dot{\phi})^3)] \quad (236) \\ &= G_5(3H^2\ddot{\phi}+6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+9H^3\dot{\phi})-\frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}\{[-27H^3(\dot{\phi})^3-(\ddot{\phi})^3+3(-3H\dot{\phi})^2(-\ddot{\phi})+3(-3H\dot{\phi})(-\ddot{\phi})^2] \\ &\quad +[3(3H\dot{\phi}+\ddot{\phi})((\ddot{\phi})^2+6H^2X)]-2[(\ddot{\phi})^3+6H^3X(\dot{\phi})]\} \\ &= G_5(3H^2\ddot{\phi}+6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+9H^3\dot{\phi})+G_{5,X}(6H^2X\ddot{\phi}+2H^3X\dot{\phi}). \quad (237) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{5,X}6H^2X\ddot{\phi} \quad (238) \\ &= -\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}G_{5,X}6H^2X\right)\dot{\phi} \\ &= -\int d^4x\left[\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}\right)G_{5,X}6H^2X+\sqrt{-g}\frac{d}{dt}\left(G_{5,X}\right)6H^2X\right. \\ &\quad \left.+\sqrt{-g}G_{5,X}\frac{d}{dt}\left(6H^2\right)X+\sqrt{-g}G_{5,X}6H^2\frac{d}{dt}\left(X\right)\right]\dot{\phi} \quad (239) \\ &= -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[3HG_{5,X}6H^2X+(G_{5,XX}\dot{X}+G_{5,X\phi}\dot{\phi})6H^2X+G_{5,X}\left(12H\dot{H}\right)X+G_{5,X}6H^2\dot{X}\right]\dot{\phi} \\ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-18H^3X\dot{\phi}G_{5,X}-12H^2X^2\ddot{\phi}G_{5,XX}-12H^2X^2G_{5,X\phi}-12H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}G_{5,X}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi}G_{5,X}\right] \quad (240) \end{aligned}$$

Then, the terms of the Lagrangian depending explicitly on $G_{5,X}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_{5,X}(6H^2X\ddot{\phi}+2H^3X\dot{\phi}) \quad (241) \\ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[G_{5,X}(-18H^3X\dot{\phi}-12H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi}+2H^3X\dot{\phi})+G_{5,XX}(-12H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})\Big] \\ &\quad +G_{5,X\phi}(-12H^2X^2) \quad (242) \\ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[G_{5,X}(-16H^3X\dot{\phi}-12H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,XX}(-12H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(-12H^2X^2)\right]. \quad (243) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} VI &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}G_5 3H^2\ddot{\phi} \quad (244) \\ &= 3\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}G_5H^2\dot{\phi}\right)-3\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}G_5H^2\right)\dot{\phi} \\ &= -3\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}G_5H^2\right)\dot{\phi} \\ &= -3\int d^4x\left[\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sqrt{-g}\right)G_5H^2\dot{\phi}+\sqrt{-g}\frac{d}{dt}\left(G_5\right)H^2\dot{\phi}+\sqrt{-g}G_5\frac{d}{dt}\left(H^2\right)\dot{\phi}\right] \\ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-9H^3G_5\dot{\phi}-3G_{5,X}\dot{X}H^2\dot{\phi}-3G_{5,\phi}\dot{\phi}H^2\dot{\phi}-6G_5H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}\right]. \quad (245) \end{aligned}$$

Then, from the terms of the Lagrangian depending on G_5:

$$\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}[(3H^2\ddot{\phi}+6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+9H^3\dot{\phi})G_5] \tag{246}$$

$$= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-9H^3G_5\dot{\phi}-3G_{5,X}\dot{X}H^2\dot{\phi}-3G_{5,\phi}\dot{\phi}H^2\dot{\phi}-6G_5H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+(6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+9H^3\dot{\phi})G_5\right]$$

$$= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-6G_{5,X}XH^2\ddot{\phi}-6G_{5,\phi}XH^2\right]. \tag{247}$$

Then the action can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}L \\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}[G_5(3H^2\ddot{\phi}+6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}+9H^3\dot{\phi})+G_{5,X}(6H^2X\ddot{\phi}+2H^3X\dot{\phi})] \\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{[-6G_{5,X}XH^2\ddot{\phi}-6G_{5,\phi}XH^2] \\
&\quad +[G_{5,X}(-16H^3X\dot{\phi}-12H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,XX}(-12H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(-12H^2X^2)]\} \\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_{5,X}(-16H^3X\dot{\phi}-12H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-18H^2X\ddot{\phi}) \\
&\quad +G_{5,XX}(-12H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(-12H^2X^2)+(6XH^2)G_{5,\phi}-(12XH^2)G_{5,\phi}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{248}$$

Now we transform the term of the action depending on $G_{5,\phi}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(12XH^2)G_{5,\phi} &= -\int d^4x(3H\sqrt{-g})(4HX)G_{5,\phi} \\
&= -\int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left[(\sqrt{-g})(4HXG_{5,\phi})\right]+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{d}{dt}\left[4HXG_{5,\phi}\right] \\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{d}{dt}\left[4HXG_{5,\phi}\right] \\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[(4\dot{H}X+4H\dot{X})G_{5,\phi}+(4HX\dot{X})G_{5,\phi X}+(4HX\dot{\phi})G_{5,\phi\phi}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{249}$$

Then the action is

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_{5,X}(-16H^3X\dot{\phi}-12H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-18H^2X\ddot{\phi}) \\
&\quad +G_{5,XX}(-12H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(-12H^2X^2)+(6XH^2)G_{5,\phi}-(12XH^2)G_{5,\phi}\} \\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_{5,X}(-4H^3X\dot{\phi}-4H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-6H^2X\ddot{\phi})+(6XH^2+4\dot{H}X+4H\dot{X})G_{5,\phi} \\
&\quad +(4HX\dot{\phi})G_{5,\phi\phi}+G_{5,XX}(-4H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(4HX\dot{\phi}-4H^2X^2)\} \\
&\quad +\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_{5,X}(-12H^3X\dot{\phi}-8H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,XX}(-8H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(-8H^2X^2)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{250}$$

$$= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}p+S', \tag{251}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S' &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_{5,X}(-12H^3X\dot{\phi}-8H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,XX}(-8H^2X^2\ddot{\phi})+G_{5,X\phi}(-8H^2X^2)\} \quad (252)\\
&= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\{G_{5,X}(-12H^3X\dot{\phi}-8H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}-12H^2X\ddot{\phi})+\left(\frac{d}{dt}G_{5,X}\right)(-4H^2X\dot{\phi})\}\\
&= \int d^4x\{G_{5,X}\sqrt{-g}[-12H^3X\dot{\phi}-8H\dot{H}X\dot{\phi}+(-4H^2\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}\dot{\phi}-4H^2X\dot{\phi})]\\
&\quad +\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{d}{dt}G_{5,X}\right)(-4H^2X\dot{\phi})\}\\
&= \int d^4x\{G_{5,X}\frac{d}{dt}\left[\sqrt{-g}(-4H^2X\dot{\phi})\right]+\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{d}{dt}G_{5,X}\right)(-4H^2X\dot{\phi})\}\\
&= \int d^4x\frac{d}{dt}\left[\sqrt{-g}G_{5,X}(-4H^2X\dot{\phi})\right]=0. \quad (253)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, again $L=p$. This completes our proof.